

https://emanamedical.com/

Analyze

Mobile Desktop

Discover what your real users are experiencing

No Data


Diagnose performance issues



Performance

Values are estimated and may vary. The performance score is calculated directly from these metrics. See calculator.

0-49 50-89 90-100



METRICS

Expand view

- First Contentful Paint
- Time to Interactive

METRICS

Expand view

- First Contentful Paint: 0.7 s
- Speed Index: 2.9 s
- Largest Contentful Paint: 3.1 s
- Time to Interactive: 2.1 s
- Total Blocking Time: 20 ms
- Cumulative Layout Shift: 0.066

Captured at Nov 21, 2022, 5:11 PM GMT-4
 Initial page load
 Emulated Desktop with Lighthouse 9.6.6
 Custom throttling
 Single page load
 Using HeadlessChromium.106.0.5249.103 with Ir

View Treemap



Show audits relevant to: All ECP TBT LCP CLS

OPPORTUNITIES

| Opportunity | Estimated Savings |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Serve images in next-gen formats | 0.88 s |
| Eliminate render-blocking resources | 0.36 s |
| Efficiently encode images | 0.32 s |
| Reduce unused CSS | 0.28 s |
| Reduce unused JavaScript | 0.24 s |
| Properly size images | 0.16 s |

These suggestions can help your page load faster. They don't directly affect the Performance score.

DIAGNOSTICS

- Ensure text remains visible during webfont load
- Does not use passive listeners to improve scrolling performance
- Image elements do not have explicit width and height
- Avoid an excessive DOM size - 1,983 elements
- Avoid enormous network payloads - Total size was 3,650 KIB
- Minimize main-thread work - 2.1 s
- Avoid chaining critical requests - 74 chains found
- Keep request counts low and transfer sizes small - 144 requests • 3,650 KIB
- Largest Contentful Paint element - 1 element found
- Avoid large layout shifts - 5 elements found
- Avoid long main-thread tasks - 3 long tasks found

More information about the performance of your application. These numbers don't directly affect the Performance score.

PASSED AUDITS (22)

Show



Accessibility

These checks highlight opportunities to [improve the accessibility of your web app](#). Only a subset of accessibility issues can be automatically detected so manual testing is also encouraged.

NAMES AND LABELS

▲ Buttons do not have an accessible name

▲ Links do not have a discernible name

These are opportunities to improve the semantics of the controls in your application. This may enhance the experience for users of assistive technology, like a screen reader.

CONTRAST

▲ Background and foreground colors do not have a sufficient contrast ratio.

These are opportunities to improve the legibility of your content.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (10)

Show

These items address areas which an automated testing tool cannot cover. Learn more in our guide on [conducting an accessibility review](#).

PASSED AUDITS (20)

Show

NOT APPLICABLE (21)

Show



Best Practices

TRUST AND SAFETY

▲ Includes front-end JavaScript libraries with known security vulnerabilities — 3 vulnerabilities detected

○ Ensure CSP is effective against XSS attacks

GENERAL

○ Detected JavaScript libraries

PASSED AUDITS (12)

Show

PASSED AUDITS (10)

Show

NOT APPLICABLE (2)

Show

More on PageSpeed Insights

What's new

Documentation

Learn about Web Performance

Ask questions on Stack Overflow

Mailing list

Related Content

Updates

Web Fundamentals

Case Studies

Podcasts

Connect

Twitter

Youtube

Google Developers Chrome Firebase All products

Terms and Privacy Policy

For details, see the [Google Developers Site Policies](#).

To appear in search results, crawlers need access to your app.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (1)

Show

Run these additional validators on your site to check additional SEO best practices.

